

On the Schur elements and generalized graded cellular bases for cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras

Lei Shi

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik

Joint work with Shuo Li

18.11.2025

- 1 Cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras (cHCAs)
- 2 (Super)symmetrizing forms and Schur elements of cHCAs
- 3 Generalized graded cellular bases of cHCAs

- 1 Cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras (cHCAs)
- 2 (Super)symmetrizing forms and Schur elements of cHCAs
- 3 Generalized graded cellular bases of cHCAs

Hecke-Clifford algebra

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field with $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$, $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$ satisfying $q^2 \neq \pm 1$, and denote $\epsilon := q - q^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}^*$.

Definition (Hecke-Clifford algebra)

The superalgebra $\mathcal{H}_n^{\text{fin}}$ has the presentation with (even) generators T_1, \dots, T_{n-1} and (odd) generators C_1, \dots, C_n , subjecting to the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^2 &= \epsilon T_i + 1, & T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, & T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, & |i - j| > 1, \\ C_i^2 &= 1, & C_i C_j &= -C_j C_i, & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \\ T_i C_i &= C_{i+1} T_i, & T_i C_j &= C_j T_i, & j \neq i, i + 1. \end{aligned}$$



G. I. Olshanski, Quantized universal enveloping superalgebra of type Q and a super-extension of the Hecke algebra, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **24** (1992), 93–102.

Definition (Affine Hecke-Clifford algebra)

The superalgebra \mathcal{H}_n has the presentation with (even) generators $X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}, T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ and (odd) generators C_1, \dots, C_n , subjecting to:

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^2 &= \epsilon T_i + 1, & T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, & T_i T_{i+1} T_i &= T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, & |i-j| > 1, \\ C_i^2 &= 1, & C_i C_j &= -C_j C_i, & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \\ T_i C_i &= C_{i+1} T_i, & T_i C_j &= C_j T_i, & j \neq i, i+1. \end{aligned}$$



A. Jones and M. Nazarov, Affine Sergeev algebra and q -analogues of the Young symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **78** (1999), 481–512.

Affine Hecke-Clifford algebra (continue)

Definition (Continue)

and more relations:

$$\begin{aligned}X_i X_j &= X_j X_i, X_i X_i^{-1} = X_i^{-1} X_i = 1 \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\T_i X_i &= X_{i+1} T_i - \epsilon(X_{i+1} + C_i C_{i+1} X_i), \\T_i X_{i+1} &= X_i T_i + \epsilon(1 + C_i C_{i+1}) X_{i+1}, \\T_i X_j &= X_j T_i, \quad j \neq i, i+1, \\X_i C_i &= C_i X_i^{-1}, X_i C_j = C_j X_i, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n.\end{aligned}$$



A. Jones and M. Nazarov, Affine Sergeev algebra and q -analogues of the Young symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **78** (1999), 481–512.

Definition

For any $\iota \in \mathbb{K}^*$, we define

$$q(\iota) := 2 \frac{q\iota + (q\iota)^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}} \in \mathbb{K},$$

$$b_{\pm}(\iota) := \frac{q(\iota)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{q(\iota)^2}{4} - 1} \in \mathbb{K}^*.$$

In fact, we have

$$b_+(\iota) + b_-(\iota) = q(\iota), \quad b_+(\iota)b_-(\iota) = 1.$$

Cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebra

- We fix $m \geq 0$ and $\underline{Q} = (Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m) \in (\mathbb{K}^*)^m$.

Definition (Cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebra)

We define

$$f := \begin{cases} f_{\underline{Q}}^{(0)} = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(X_1 + X_1^{-1} - q(Q_i) \right), \\ f_{\underline{Q}}^{(s)} = (X_1 - 1) \prod_{i=1}^m \left(X_1 + X_1^{-1} - q(Q_i) \right), \\ f_{\underline{Q}}^{(ss)} = (X_1 - 1)(X_1 + 1) \prod_{i=1}^m \left(X_1 + X_1^{-1} - q(Q_i) \right). \end{cases}$$

Then the cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebra \mathcal{H}_n^f is defined as $\mathcal{H}_n^f := \mathcal{H}_n / \mathcal{H}_n f \mathcal{H}_n$.



J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, Hecke-Clifford superalgebras, crystals of type $A_{2\ell}^{(2)}$ and modular branching rules for \widetilde{S}_n , *Represent. Theory*, **5** (2001), 317–403.

- The field \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed and $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2\ell + 1, 2$.
- $\mathfrak{g} = A_{2\ell}^{(2)}$, $I = \{0, 1, \dots, \ell\}$, $\Lambda \in P_+$ be any dominant integral weight.
- q^2 is a primitive $2\ell + 1$ -th root of unity.
- $K(\infty) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K(\text{Rep} \mathcal{H}_n)$.
- $K(\Lambda) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} K(\text{Rep} \mathcal{H}_n^\Lambda)$, where we set

$$f = f_\Lambda = (X_1 - 1)^{a_0} \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{0\}} (X_1 + X_1^{-1} - q(q^{2i}))^{a_i},$$

if $\Lambda = a_0 \Lambda_0 + \sum_{i \in I \setminus \{0\}} a_i \Lambda_i$.

- $K(\infty)^*$, $K(\Lambda)^*$ are the grading dual of $K(\infty)$, $K(\Lambda)$, respectively.

Theorem (Brundan-Kleshchev)

- (A) *There exists isomorphism $K(\infty)^* \simeq U_{\mathbb{Z}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ as graded (cocommutative) Hopf algebras;*
- (B) *For any dominant integral weight Λ , there exists $U_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism $K(\Lambda)^* \simeq V_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$;*
- (C) *{The isomorphic classes of simple modules} \simeq {Kashiwara's crystal basis}.*



J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, Hecke-Clifford superalgebras, crystals of type $A_{2\ell}^{(2)}$ and modular branching rules for \widetilde{S}_n , *Represent. Theory*, **5** (2001), 317–403.

- Kang-Kashiwara-Tsuchioka introduced the (cyclotomic) quiver Hecke superalgebras and (cyclotomic) quiver Hecke-Clifford superalgebras, and proved that

Theorem (Kang-Kashiwara-Tsuchioka)

For type $A_\infty, B_\infty, C_\infty, A_{l-1}^{(1)}, A_{2l}^{(2)}, C_l^{(1)}, D_{l+1}^{(2)}$, cyclotomic quiver Hecke-Clifford superalgebra RC_n^Λ is isomorphic to cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford superalgebra \mathcal{H}_n^Λ .



S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and S. Tsuchioka, Quiver Hecke superalgebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **711** (2016), 1–54.

For $m \geq 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_n^{0,m} &:= \mathcal{P}_n^m, \\ \mathcal{P}_n^{s,m} &:= \bigcup_{a=0}^n (\mathcal{P}_a^s \times \mathcal{P}_{n-a}^m), \\ \mathcal{P}_n^{ss,m} &:= \bigcup_{a+b+c=n} (\mathcal{P}_a^s \times \mathcal{P}_b^s \times \mathcal{P}_c^m).\end{aligned}$$

We also have the set of standard tableaux $\text{Std}(\mathcal{P}_n^{\bullet,m})$ for $\bullet \in \{0, s, ss\}$.

- Shi-Wan introduced a Poincaré polynomial $P_n^{(\bullet)}(q^2, \underline{Q})$ for the cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras.

Theorem (Shi-Wan, 2025)

Suppose that $P_n^{(\bullet)}(q^2, \underline{Q}) \neq 0$ for $\bullet \in \{0, s, ss\}$. Then \mathcal{H}_n^f is split semisimple over \mathbb{K} , and all the simple modules $\{\mathbb{D}(\underline{\lambda}) \mid \underline{\lambda} \in \text{Std}(\mathcal{P}_n^{\bullet, m})\}$ are classified.



L. Shi, J. Wan, *On representation theory of cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras*, arXiv:2501.06763.

Primitive idempotents and seminormal bases of cHCAs

- Based on Shi-Wan's work, Li-Shi constructed the complete set of primitive idempotents and seminormal bases for the (semisimple) cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras (and cyclotomic Sergeev algebras).
- Kashuba-Molev-Serganova constructed the complete set of primitive idempotents (inductively) and seminormal bases for the Sergeev algebra .



S. Li, L. Shi, *Seminormal bases of cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys., **115**, (2025), 10.1007/s11005-025-01998-x.



I. Kashuba, A. Molev and V. Serganova, *On the Jucys-Murphy method and fusion procedure for the Sergeev superalgebra*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), **112**(3) (2025), Paper No. e70302.

- 1 Cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras (cHCAs)
- 2 (Super)symmetrizing forms and Schur elements of cHCAs
- 3 Generalized graded cellular bases of cHCAs

Symmetrizing forms of superalgebras

- Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}}$ be an R -superalgebra, which is finitely generated free as R -module, $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the parity map.

Symmetrizing forms of superalgebras

- Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ be an R -superalgebra, which is finitely generated free as R -module, $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ be the parity map.

Definition

The superalgebra \mathcal{A} is **symmetric** if \exists R -linear map $t : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$ with $t(\mathcal{A}_1) = 0$ such that $t(xy) = t(yx)$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and t is non-degenerate.

Definition

The superalgebra \mathcal{A} is **supersymmetric** if \exists R -linear map $t : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow R$ with $t(\mathcal{A}_1) = 0$ such that $t(xy) = (-1)^{|x||y|} t(yx)$ for any homogeneous $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and t is non-degenerate.

Proposition (Brundan-Kleshchev, 2001)

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in [0, r-1]^n$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define the \mathbb{R} -linear map $\tau_{r,n}$ by

$$\tau_{r,n}(X^\alpha C^\beta T_w) := \delta_{(\alpha, \beta, w), (0, 0, 1)}.$$

Then $\tau_{r,n}$ is a Frobenius form of \mathcal{H}_n^f over \mathbb{R} .

Proposition (Brundan-Kleshchev, 2001)

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in [0, r-1]^n$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define the \mathbb{R} -linear map $\tau_{r,n}$ by

$$\tau_{r,n}(X^\alpha C^\beta T_w) := \delta_{(\alpha, \beta, w), (0, 0, 1)}.$$

Then $\tau_{r,n}$ is a Frobenius form of \mathcal{H}_n^f over \mathbb{R} .

Remark

In general, the form $\tau_{r,n}$ is not (super)symmetric ($\tau_{r,n}(xy) \neq \tau_{r,n}(yx)$)!

(Super)symmetrizing form of cHCAs

Recall the level $r = \deg f$, and $r = 2m$ if $\bullet = 0$, and $r = 2m + 1$ if $\bullet = s$.

(Super)symmetrizing form of cHCAs

Recall the level $r = \deg f$, and $r = 2m$ if $\bullet = 0$, and $r = 2m + 1$ if $\bullet = s$.

Theorem (Li-Shi, 2025)

Let R be an integral domain with $\text{Char}(R) \neq 2$. Suppose that $q, q + q^{-1} \in R^\times$ and $\underline{Q} \in (R^\times)^m$. Then we have the following.

(i) If $\bullet = 0$, then \mathcal{H}_n^f is supersymmetric with the supersymmetrizing form

$$t_{r,n} := \tau_{r,n} \left(- \cdot (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^m \right);$$

(ii) If $\bullet = s$, and $(1 + X_1)(1 + X_2) \cdots (1 + X_n)$ is invertible in \mathcal{H}_n^f , then \mathcal{H}_n^f is symmetric with the symmetrizing form

$$t_{r,n} := \tau_{r,n} \left(- \cdot (X_1 X_2 \cdots X_n)^m (1 + X_1)(1 + X_2) \cdots (1 + X_n) \right).$$

Proposition

Suppose that q is not a primitive $4l$ -th root of unity for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\mathcal{H}_n^{\text{fin}}$ is a symmetric superalgebra with symmetrizing form $t_{1,n}$.

Remark

Wan and Wang also gave a symmetrizing form τ_n^{WW} on $\mathcal{H}_n^{\text{fin}}$ over $\mathbb{C}(q)$, where q is an indeterminate, and computed the corresponding Schur elements.

Schur elements in symmetric case

For any $V \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})$, we write

$$\delta(V) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } V \text{ is of type M;} \\ 1, & \text{if } V \text{ is of type Q.} \end{cases}$$

Schur elements in symmetric case

For any $V \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})$, we write

$$\delta(V) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } V \text{ is of type M;} \\ 1, & \text{if } V \text{ is of type Q.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition (Wan-Wang, 2013)

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a split semisimple superalgebra over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{A} is symmetric with a symmetrizing form t . Then the **Schur element** c_V for every irreducible \mathcal{A} -module V is non-zero. Moreover,

$$t = \sum_{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} \frac{1}{2^{\delta_V} c_V} \chi_V.$$

Proposition (Li-Shi,2025)

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a split semisimple superalgebra over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{A} is supersymmetric with a supersymmetrizing form t . Then

Proposition (Li-Shi,2025)

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a split semisimple superalgebra over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{A} is supersymmetric with a supersymmetrizing form t . Then

*(i) Every irreducible \mathcal{A} -module V is of type M , and the **Schur element** c_V is non-zero.*

Proposition (Li-Shi,2025)

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a split semisimple superalgebra over \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{A} is supersymmetric with a supersymmetrizing form t . Then

*(i) Every irreducible \mathcal{A} -module V is of type M , and the **Schur element** c_V is non-zero.*

(ii) If we fix the choice of representative in each isomorphism class of simple module, then we have

$$t = \sum_{V \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{A})} \frac{1}{c_V} \chi'_V.$$

Theorem (Li-Shi, 2025)

Suppose that $\bullet \in \{0, s\}$ and $P_n^{(\bullet)}(q^2, Q) \neq 0$ holds. Let $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\bullet, m}$, then the Schur element $c_{\underline{\lambda}}$ of simple \mathcal{H}_n^f -module $\mathbb{D}(\underline{\lambda})$ with respect to $t_{r,n}$ is given by

$$c_{\underline{\lambda}} = \begin{cases} \prod_{\alpha \in \underline{\lambda}} (\mathbf{b}_-(\text{res}(\alpha)) - \mathbf{b}_+(\text{res}(\alpha))) \cdot \mathbf{q}(\underline{\lambda})^{-1}, & \text{if } \bullet = 0, \\ 2^{-\lceil \#\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\lambda}}/2 \rceil} \cdot \mathbf{q}(\underline{\lambda})^{-1}, & \text{if } \bullet = s, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\mathbf{q}(\underline{\lambda}) := \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \text{Rem}(\mathfrak{t} \downarrow_{k-1}) \setminus \mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{q}(\text{res}_{\mathfrak{t}}(k)) - \mathbf{q}(\text{res}(\beta)))}{\prod_{\alpha \in \text{Add}(\mathfrak{t} \downarrow_{k-1}) \setminus \{\mathfrak{t}^{-1}(k)\}} (\mathbf{q}(\text{res}_{\mathfrak{t}}(k)) - \mathbf{q}(\text{res}(\alpha)))},$$

for $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Std}(\underline{\lambda})$, $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathcal{P}_n^{\bullet, m}$.

- 1 Cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebras (cHCAs)
- 2 (Super)symmetrizing forms and Schur elements of cHCAs
- 3 Generalized graded cellular bases of cHCAs

Theorem (Li-Shi,2025)

Suppose $f = f_{\underline{Q}}^{(0)}$. The cyclotomic Hecke-Clifford algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{K}}^Q$ is a generalized graded cellular superalgebra.

Definition

Suppose A is a \mathbb{Z} -graded R -superalgebra which is free of finite rank over R and R is concentrated on both \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_2 degree 0. A **generalized graded super cell datum** for A is an ordered hexuple $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}, C, \deg, |\cdot|)$, where $(\mathcal{P}, \triangleleft)$ is the **weight poset**, $\mathcal{T}(\lambda)$ and \mathcal{B}_λ are finite sets for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, \mathcal{B}_λ is a homogeneous R -basis of R -superalgebra B_λ (which is concentrated on \mathbb{Z} -degree 0) for $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ and $C: \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \times B_\lambda \times \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \rightarrow A; (i, u, j) \mapsto c_{i,u,j}^\lambda$, $\deg: \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $|\cdot|: \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ are three functions such that C is injective. Moreover, we have the following conditions.

Definition (Continue)

(GCd) Each basis element $c_{i,u,j}^\lambda$ is homogeneous of \mathbb{Z} -degree $\deg(i) + \deg(j)$ and \mathbb{Z}_2 -degree $|i| + |j| + |u|$, where $i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $u \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$.

(GC1) $\{c_{i,u,j}^\lambda \mid i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda), u \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$ forms a homogeneous R -basis of A for $i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $u \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$.

(GC2) We have $c_{i,u,j}^\lambda + c_{i,u',j}^\lambda = c_{i,u+u',j}^\lambda$ for $i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $u, u' \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$.

(GC3) For any $i, j, i', j' \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $u, u', u'' \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, we have a function $r_{i,u}^{i',u'} : A \rightarrow R : a \mapsto r_{i,u}^{i',u'}(a)$ such that for any $a \in A$ and $c_{i,u,j}^\lambda$ where $i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $u \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$ac_{i,uu'',j}^\lambda = \sum_{\substack{i' \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda) \\ u' \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda}} r_{i,u}^{i',u'}(a) c_{i',u',j}^\lambda \pmod{A^{\triangleleft \lambda}},$$

where

$$A^{\triangleleft \lambda} := \sum_{\substack{(i,u,j) \in \mathcal{T}(\mu) \times \mathcal{B}_\mu \times \mathcal{T}(\mu) \\ \mu \triangleleft \lambda}} Rc_{i,u,j}^\lambda.$$

Definition (Continue)

(GC4) For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, there is an R -algebraic anti-involution ω_λ on B_λ and the R -linear map $*$: $A \rightarrow A$ determined by $(c_{i,u,j}^\lambda)^* = c_{j,\omega_\lambda(u),i}^\lambda$ where $i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda)$, $u \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ is an anti-isomorphism of A .

A **generalized graded cellular superalgebra** is a \mathbb{Z} -graded superalgebra which has a generalized graded super cell datum . The basis $\{c_{i,u,j}^\lambda \mid i, j \in \mathcal{T}(\lambda), u \in \mathcal{B}_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a **generalized graded super cellular basis** of A .

Remark

- (1). Our generalized graded cellular superalgebra is a special case of generalized standardly based algebra (Mori, 2014).
- (2). If we forget the \mathbb{Z}_2 grading, and $B_\lambda = R$, $\omega_\lambda = \text{id}_R$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, then we recover the definition of \mathbb{Z} -graded cellular algebra (Hu-Mathas, 2010).
- (3). If we further forget the \mathbb{Z} -grading, then we recover the original definition of cellular algebra (Graham-Lehrer, 1996).

Corollary

- (1). [Hu-Mathas, 2010; Evseev-Mathas, 2024] Let R_α^Λ be the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebra of type $A_{l-1}^{(1)}$ or $C_l^{(1)}$. Then R_α^Λ is a cellular algebra.
- (2). Let R_α^Λ be the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebra of type $A_{2l}^{(2)}$, and $\Lambda = a_0\Lambda_0 + \sum_{i \in I \setminus \{0\}} a_i\Lambda_i$ with $a_0 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $R_\alpha^\Lambda \otimes C_{\ell(\alpha)}$ is a generalized graded cellular superalgebra.
- (3). Let R_α^Λ be the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebra of type $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$, and $\Lambda = a_0\Lambda_0 + \sum_{i \in I \setminus \{0, l\}} a_i\Lambda_i + a_l\Lambda_l$ with $a_0, a_s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $R_\alpha^\Lambda \otimes C_{\ell(\alpha)}$ is a generalized graded cellular superalgebra.

Thank You!