

Vanishing Short Integer Solution (vSIS)

Russell W. F. Lai

Aalto University

Novel Lattice Assumptions workshop, ICMS, 2026

Goal of this talk

- † Vanishing SIS is (arguably) the simplest structured lattice assumption beyond ring/module SIS
- † Breaking vSIS is a natural strategy towards breaking other novel lattice assumptions.
- † $\implies$ Natural first target for reductions and attacks.
- † Goal: Establish common notation and language so we can work together

Short Integer Solution (SIS)

SIS $_{\mathbb{Z},n,m,q,\beta}$

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$

† Find: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that

‡ $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$ and

‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \beta$

† n : Number of rows / module rank / number of points (explained later)

† m : Witness dimension

† q : Modulus

† β : Norm bound

† $\mathcal{R}$: Some ring, typically cyclotomic, with some embedding $\psi : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\varphi m}$

† $\|\cdot\|$: Some norm defined via the embedding ψ , i.e. $\|\mathbf{x}\| := \|\psi(\mathbf{x})\|$

Short Integer Solution (SIS)

SIS $_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times m}$

† Find: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that

‡ $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$ and

‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \beta$

† n : Number of rows / module rank / number of points (explained later)

† m : Witness dimension

† q : Modulus

† β : Norm bound

† $\mathcal{R}$: Some ring, typically cyclotomic, with some embedding $\psi : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\varphi m}$

† $\|\cdot\|$: Some norm defined via the embedding ψ , i.e. $\|\mathbf{x}\| := \|\psi(\mathbf{x})\|$

Functional point of view

Traditional point of view

$\text{SIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

- † Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times m}$
- † Find: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that
 - ‡ $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$ and
 - ‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \beta$

Functional point of view

$\text{SIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

- † Given: $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^m$ (rows of $\mathbf{A}$)
- † Find: linear $f : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that
 - ‡ $f(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0 \bmod q$ for all $i \in [n]$ and
 - ‡ $0 < \|f\| \leq \beta$

SIS asks to find a **linear** function with short coefficients
which vanishes at the n given random points.

Why should the class of functions be **linear**?

Functional point of view

Traditional point of view

$\text{SIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

- † Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times m}$
- † Find: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that
 - ‡ $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$ and
 - ‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \beta$

Functional point of view

$\text{SIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

- † Given: $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^m$ (rows of $\mathbf{A}$)
- † Find: linear $f : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that
 - ‡ $f(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0 \bmod q$ for all $i \in [n]$ and
 - ‡ $0 < \|f\| \leq \beta$

SIS asks to find a **linear** function with short coefficients
which vanishes at the n given random points.

Why should the class of functions be **linear**?

Functional point of view

Traditional point of view

SIS $_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

- † Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times m}$
- † Find: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that
 - ‡ $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$ and
 - ‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq \beta$

Functional point of view

SIS $_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta}$

- † Given: $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^m$ (rows of $\mathbf{A}$)
- † Find: linear $f : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that
 - ‡ $f(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0 \bmod q$ for all $i \in [n]$ and
 - ‡ $0 < \|f\| \leq \beta$

SIS asks to find a **linear** function with short coefficients
which vanishes at the n given random points.

Why should the class of functions be **linear**?

Vanishing SIS

vSIS $_{\mathcal{R},n,k,q,\beta,\mathcal{F}}$ [CLM23]

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a set of k -variate functions from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_q^k$ to $\mathcal{R}_q$.

† Given: $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_q^k$

† Find: $\mathbf{u}^* = (u_1^*, \dots, u_m^*) \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that $f(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^* \cdot f_i(\cdot)$ satisfies

‡ $f(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0 \bmod q$ for all $i \in [n]$ and

‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \beta$

† [CLM23] Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, and Giulio Malavolta.
“Lattice-Based Succinct Arguments from Vanishing Polynomials - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *CRYPTO 2023*. 2023

Vanishing SIS as Structured SIS

Equivalent, we can represent vSIS as a *structured SIS* problem.

$\text{vSIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,k,q,\beta,\mathcal{F}}$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$ be a set of k -variate functions from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_q^k$ to $\mathcal{R}_q$.

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}^n \subseteq \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times k}$

† Find: $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{R}^m$

‡ $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{u}^* = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$ and

‡ $0 < \|\mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \beta$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) := \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\mathbf{a}_1) & \cdots & f_m(\mathbf{a}_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1(\mathbf{a}_n) & \cdots & f_m(\mathbf{a}_n) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times m}.$$

A motivating example

Take $\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^d\}$, the univariate polynomials of degree at most d .

The vSIS commitment [CLM23]

Commit to a short degree- d polynomial f by evaluating it at the public random points:

$$\text{Com}(f) := (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \bmod q.$$

† **Binding** $\iff$ **vSIS**. If $f \neq g$ are short degree- d polynomials with $\text{Com}(f) = \text{Com}(g)$, then $f - g$ is a non-zero short degree- d polynomial with

$$(f - g)(a_i) = 0 \bmod q \quad \text{for all } i \in [n].$$

† **Multiplicative structure**. Component-wise product $\text{Com}(f) \odot \text{Com}(g)$ is a commitment to $f \cdot g$, since

$$(f \cdot g)(a_i) = f(a_i) \cdot g(a_i) \bmod q,$$

binding under univariate vSIS with degree $2d$ and norm bound $\approx d \cdot \beta^2$.

A motivating example

Take $\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^d\}$, the univariate polynomials of degree at most d .

The vSIS commitment [CLM23]

Commit to a short degree- d polynomial f by evaluating it at the public random points:

$$\text{Com}(f) := (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \bmod q.$$

† **Binding** $\iff$ **vSIS**. If $f \neq g$ are short degree- d polynomials with $\text{Com}(f) = \text{Com}(g)$, then $f - g$ is a non-zero short degree- d polynomial with

$$(f - g)(a_i) = 0 \bmod q \quad \text{for all } i \in [n].$$

† **Multiplicative structure**. Component-wise product $\text{Com}(f) \odot \text{Com}(g)$ is a commitment to $f \cdot g$, since

$$(f \cdot g)(a_i) = f(a_i) \cdot g(a_i) \bmod q,$$

binding under univariate vSIS with degree $2d$ and norm bound $\approx d \cdot \beta^2$.

A motivating example

Take $\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^d\}$, the univariate polynomials of degree at most d .

The vSIS commitment [CLM23]

Commit to a short degree- d polynomial f by evaluating it at the public random points:

$$\text{Com}(f) := (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \bmod q.$$

† **Binding** $\iff$ **vSIS**. If $f \neq g$ are short degree- d polynomials with $\text{Com}(f) = \text{Com}(g)$, then $f - g$ is a non-zero short degree- d polynomial with

$$(f - g)(a_i) = 0 \bmod q \quad \text{for all } i \in [n].$$

† **Multiplicative structure**. Component-wise product $\text{Com}(f) \odot \text{Com}(g)$ is a commitment to $f \cdot g$, since

$$(f \cdot g)(a_i) = f(a_i) \cdot g(a_i) \bmod q,$$

binding under univariate vSIS with degree $2d$ and norm bound $\approx d \cdot \beta^2$.

A motivating example

Take $\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^d\}$, the univariate polynomials of degree at most d .

The vSIS commitment [CLM23]

Commit to a short degree- d polynomial f by evaluating it at the public random points:

$$\text{Com}(f) := (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n)) \bmod q.$$

† **Binding** $\iff$ **vSIS**. If $f \neq g$ are short degree- d polynomials with $\text{Com}(f) = \text{Com}(g)$, then $f - g$ is a non-zero short degree- d polynomial with

$$(f - g)(a_i) = 0 \bmod q \quad \text{for all } i \in [n].$$

† **Multiplicative structure**. Component-wise product $\text{Com}(f) \odot \text{Com}(g)$ is a commitment to $f \cdot g$, since

$$(f \cdot g)(a_i) = f(a_i) \cdot g(a_i) \bmod q,$$

binding under univariate vSIS with degree $2d$ and norm bound $\approx d \cdot \beta^2$.

Example instantiations of $\mathcal{F}$

$\mathcal{F}$	Description
$\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$	Linear monomials, i.e. the SIS case
$\{1, X, \dots, X^d\}$	Univariate monomials
$\{1, X^{\pm 1}, \dots, X^{\pm d}\}$	Laurent monomials
$\{\prod_{i=1}^{\mu} X_i^{b_i} : (b_1, \dots, b_{\mu}) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mu}\}$	Multilinear monomials
$\{\prod_{i=1}^{\mu} X_{i,j_i} : (j_1, \dots, j_{\mu}) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mu}\}$	Set-multilinear monomials
$\{1/(X - \mathbf{e}_i) : i \in [m]\}$	Elementary fractions

The SIS case

$$\mathcal{F} = \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}.$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})$: Univariate monomials $\Rightarrow$ Vandermonde

$$\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^7\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & a^2 & a^3 & a^4 & a^5 & a^6 & a^7 \\ 1 & b & b^2 & b^3 & b^4 & b^5 & b^6 & b^7 \\ 1 & c & c^2 & c^3 & c^4 & c^5 & c^6 & c^7 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^4 \\ 1 & b^4 \\ 1 & c^4 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a^2 \\ 1 & b^2 \\ 1 & c^2 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a \\ 1 & b \\ 1 & c \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

where $\bullet$ denotes the row-wise tensor product.

The row-tensor structure is useful for succinct arguments [CLM23; KLNO24; KLNO25; OKCLM25; KLOT25; KLNOT26].

$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})$: Set-multilinear monomials

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} X_{1,0}X_{2,0}X_{3,0}, & X_{1,0}X_{2,0}X_{3,1}, & X_{1,0}X_{2,1}X_{3,0}, & X_{1,0}X_{2,1}X_{3,1}, \\ X_{1,1}X_{2,0}X_{3,0}, & X_{1,1}X_{2,0}X_{3,1}, & X_{1,1}X_{2,1}X_{3,0}, & X_{1,1}X_{2,1}X_{3,1} \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,0}b_{1,0}c_{1,0} & a_{1,0}b_{1,0}c_{1,1} & a_{1,0}b_{1,1}c_{1,0} & a_{1,0}b_{1,1}c_{1,1} & a_{1,1}b_{1,0}c_{1,0} & a_{1,1}b_{1,0}c_{1,1} & a_{1,1}b_{1,1}c_{1,0} & a_{1,1}b_{1,1}c_{1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n,0}b_{n,0}c_{n,0} & a_{n,0}b_{n,0}c_{n,1} & a_{n,0}b_{n,1}c_{n,0} & a_{n,0}b_{n,1}c_{n,1} & a_{n,1}b_{n,0}c_{n,0} & a_{n,1}b_{n,0}c_{n,1} & a_{n,1}b_{n,1}c_{n,0} & a_{n,1}b_{n,1}c_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n,0} & a_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,0} & b_{1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{n,0} & b_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} c_{1,0} & c_{1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{n,0} & c_{n,1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

In general $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}_1 \bullet \cdots \bullet \mathbf{A}_\mu$ for independently random $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_\mu$.

$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})$: Elementary fractions $\Rightarrow$ Cauchy

Fix distinct public poles $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathcal{R}_q$, and

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \frac{1}{X - e_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{X - e_m} \right\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}} = \{a \in \mathcal{R}_q : a - e_j \in \mathcal{R}_q^\times \text{ for all } j\}.$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1 - e_1} & \frac{1}{a_1 - e_2} & \dots & \frac{1}{a_1 - e_m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{a_n - e_1} & \frac{1}{a_n - e_2} & \dots & \frac{1}{a_n - e_m} \end{bmatrix}$$

Cauchy matrix with nodes $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and poles $e_1, \dots, e_m$.

Applications

Vanishing SIS (for polynomials) has been used to build

- † Practical lattice-based SNARKs [KLNO24; KLNO25; KLOT25; KLNOT26]
 - † Lattice-based verifiable delay functions [OKCLM25] (+ sequentiality assumption)
 - † Fully algebraic homomorphic signatures for low-degree polynomials [JL25] (+ vSIS trapdoor)
-

- † [KLNO24] Michael Klooß, Russell W. F. Lai, Ngoc Khanh Nguyen, and Michal Osadnik.
“RoK, Paper, SiSsors Toolkit for Lattice-Based Succinct Arguments - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *ASIACRYPT 2024*. 2024
- † [KLNO25] Michael Klooß, Russell W. F. Lai, Ngoc Khanh Nguyen, and Michal Osadnik.
“RoK and Roll - Verifier-Efficient Random Projection for $\tilde{O}(\lambda)$ -Size Lattice Arguments - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *ASIACRYPT 2025*. 2025
- † [KLOT25] Shuto Kuriyama, Russell W. F. Lai, Michal Osadnik, and Lorenzo Tucci.
SALSAA – Sumcheck-Aided Lattice-based Succinct Arguments and Applications.
Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2025/2124. 2025
- † [KLNOT26] Michael Klooss, Russell W. F. Lai, Ngoc Khanh Nguyen, Michal Osadnik, and Lorenzo Tucci.
RoKoko: Lattice-based Succinct Arguments, a Committed Refinement.
Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2026/575. 2026
- † [OKCLM25] Michal Osadnik, Darya Kaviani, Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, and Giulio Malavolta.
“Papercraft: Lattice-Based Verifiable Delay Function Implemented”.
In: *2025 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. 2025
- † [JL25] Kalle Jyrkinen and Russell W. F. Lai.
“Vanishing Short Integer Solution, Revisited - Reductions, Trapdoors, Homomorphic Signatures for Low-Degree Polynomials”.
In: *PKC 2025*. 2025

Hinted vSIS

Assuming Evasive SIS, vSIS $\implies$ Hint-vSIS.

Hint-vSIS $_{\mathcal{R}, n, k, q, \beta, s, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}}$ [DKLW25]

Target and hint functions $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ from $\mathcal{R}_q^k$ to $\mathcal{R}_q$, Gaussian width s .

† $\mathcal{A}$ first picks $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a target $g^* \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$.

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}}^n$ and hints $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times |\mathcal{Q}|}$ of Gaussian width s
s.t. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{A}) \bmod q$

† Find: $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{u}^* = g^*(\mathbf{A}) \bmod q$ and $0 < \|\mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \beta$

Variants:

† Strong (s-Hint-vSIS): $\mathcal{A}$ picks $g^* \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ *after* seeing $\mathbf{A}$ and the hints.

† Strong random-hinted (s- $\$$ Hint-vSIS): as strong, but $\mathcal{Q}$ is a uniformly random Q -subset of $\mathcal{H}$, not chosen by $\mathcal{A}$.

† kRISIS / kMISIS [ACLM22]: essentially a special case with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{SIS}}$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{G} = \{g^*\}$ disjoint from $\mathcal{H}$.

Hinted vSIS

Assuming Evasive SIS, vSIS $\implies$ Hint-vSIS.

Hint-vSIS $_{\mathcal{R},n,k,q,\beta,s,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H}}$ [DKLW25]

Target and hint functions $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ from $\mathcal{R}_q^k$ to $\mathcal{R}_q$, Gaussian width s .

† $\mathcal{A}$ first picks $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and a target $g^* \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$.

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{H}}^n$ and hints $\mathbf{U} \in \mathcal{R}^{m \times |\mathcal{Q}|}$ of Gaussian width s
s.t. $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{A}) \bmod q$

† Find: $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{u}^* = g^*(\mathbf{A}) \bmod q$ and $0 < \|\mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \beta$

Variants:

† **Strong** (s-Hint-vSIS): $\mathcal{A}$ picks $g^* \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{Q}$ *after* seeing $\mathbf{A}$ and the hints.

† **Strong random-hinted** (s- $\$$ Hint-vSIS): as strong, but $\mathcal{Q}$ is a uniformly random Q -subset of $\mathcal{H}$, not chosen by $\mathcal{A}$.

† **kRISIS / kMISIS** [ACLMT22]: essentially a special case with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\text{SIS}}$, $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{G} = \{g^*\}$ disjoint from $\mathcal{H}$.

Applications: the hinted variant

The *hinted* variant is used to build

- † Proof-friendly signatures (e.g. for practical anonymous credentials) [DKLW25]
- † Functional commitments for polynomials [ACLM22; CLM23; FLV23] and circuits [BCFL23] (via kRISIS/kMISIS)

-
- † [DKLW25] Adrien Dubois, Michael Klooß, Russell W. F. Lai, and Ivy K. Y. Woo.
“Lattice-Based Proof-Friendly Signatures from Vanishing Short Integer Solutions”.
In: *PKC 2025*. 2025
 - † [ACLM22] Martin R. Albrecht, Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, Giulio Malavolta, and Sri Aravinda Krishnan Thyagarajan.
“Lattice-Based SNARKs: Publicly Verifiable, Preprocessing, and Recursively Composable - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *CRYPTO 2022*. 2022
 - † [CLM23] Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, and Giulio Malavolta.
“Lattice-Based Succinct Arguments from Vanishing Polynomials - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *CRYPTO 2023*. 2023
 - † [FLV23] Ben Fisch, Zeyu Liu, and Psi Vesely.
“Orbweaver: Succinct Linear Functional Commitments from Lattices”.
In: *CRYPTO 2023*. 2023
 - † [BCFL23] David Balbás, Dario Catalano, Dario Fiore, and Russell W. F. Lai.
“Chainable Functional Commitments for Unbounded-Depth Circuits”.
In: *TCC 2023*. 2023

LWE analogues: $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A})$ or $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S})$ (or both)

These assumptions are not in the literature. I am introducing them now.

Public span: $\text{Span-LWE}_{\mathcal{R},n,k,q,\chi_s,\chi_e,\mathcal{F}}$

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}^n \subseteq \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times k}$

† Distinguish: $\mathbf{s}^\top \cdot \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{e}^\top \bmod q$ from $\mathbf{b}^\top$

where $\mathbf{s} \leftarrow_{\$} \chi_s^n$, $\mathbf{e} \leftarrow_{\$} \chi_e^m$, $\mathbf{b} \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{R}_q^m$.

Hidden span: $\text{Hidden-Span-LWE}_{\mathcal{R},n,k,\ell,q,\chi_s,\chi_e,\mathcal{F}}$

† Given: $\mathbf{A} \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{R}_q^{n \times \ell}$

† Distinguish: $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{S})^\top \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{E} \bmod q$ from $\mathbf{B}$

where $\mathbf{S} \leftarrow_{\$} \chi_s^{n \times k} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}^n$, $\mathbf{E} \leftarrow_{\$} \chi_e^{m \times \ell}$, $\mathbf{B} \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{R}_q^{m \times \ell}$.

Per the rules of the workshop, I will kill ≥ 2 assumptions which are special cases of the above.

Instantiating $\mathcal{F}$: the assumptions in the literature

Power RLWE [ARS24]: public span, $n = k = 1$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^{m-1}\} \implies (a, \{a^i \cdot s + e_i\}_{i \in [0, m-1]}) \approx_c (a, \{b_i\}_{i \in [0, m-1]})$$

Applications: Succinct HSS [ARS24]; public-key PCF for OT [ABCR26] (with secret-power).

† [ARS24] Damiano Abram, Lawrence Roy, and Peter Scholl.

“Succinct Homomorphic Secret Sharing”.

In: *EUROCRYPT 2024*. 2024

† [ABCR26] Shweta Agrawal, Kaartik Bhushan, Geoffroy Couteau, and Mahshid Riahinia.

“Post-Quantum Public-Key Pseudorandom Correlation Functions for OT”.

In: *CRYPTO 2026*. 2026

Instantiating $\mathcal{F}$: the assumptions in the literature

Secret-power RLWE [ABCR26]: hidden span, short secret, $n = k = \ell = 1$

$$\mathcal{F} = \{X, X^{-1}, \dots, X^{-(m-1)}\}$$
$$\implies (a, a \cdot s + e_0, \{a \cdot s^{-i} + e_i\}_{i \in [m-1]}) \approx_c (a, b_0, \{b_i\}_{i \in [m-1]})$$

Applications: Constrained PRF for low-degree polynomials [ABCR26]; public-key PCF for OT (with public-power).

Secret-power RLWE [ILL25]: hidden span, short secret, $n = k = 1, \ell$ free

$$\mathcal{F} = \{X, X^2\} \implies (a, s \cdot a + e_1, s^2 \cdot a + e_2) \approx_c (a, b_1, b_2)$$

Applications: Succinct garbling [ILL25].

† [ILL25] Yuval Ishai, Hanjun Li, and Huijia Lin.
“A Unified Framework for Succinct Garbling from Homomorphic Secret Sharing”.
In: *CRYPTO 2025*. 2025

Trivially False Instances of $\text{vSIS}_{\mathcal{F}}$

1. $\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathcal{R}$ -linearly dependent. A short non-zero $\mathbf{u}^*$ with $\sum_i u_i^* \cdot f_i = 0$ as a function. Examples:
 - ‡ $0 \in \mathcal{F}$
 - ‡ $\{1, X, 1 + X\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$
2. $\mathcal{R}$ -linear combination of $\mathcal{F}$ vanishes at random point with non-negligible probability. Examples:
 - ‡ Fully splitting prime q and $\{1, X^{q-1}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.
Reason: $\mathcal{R}_q \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\varphi$, so $a^{q-1} = 1 \bmod q$ for every $a \in \mathcal{R}_q^\times$.
 - ‡ Power-2 cyclotomic $\mathcal{R}$, $q = 2^\ell$, $X^{\ell\varphi} \in \mathcal{F}$.
Reason: $q\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{I}^{\ell\varphi}$ with $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I}) = 2$, so $a \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q$ satisfies $a^{\ell\varphi} = 0 \bmod q$ with probability $1/2$.

Strong Linear Independence criterion

Strong Linear Independence (relaxed and simplified from [DKLW25, Def. 6])

For $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{F}$ is ϵ -strongly linearly independent if

- † $\mathcal{F}$ is linearly independent and
- † every non-constant $h \in \mathcal{R}_q\text{-span}(\mathcal{F})$ has min-entropy $\geq \log(1/\epsilon)$ at a random point.

† [DKLW25] Adrien Dubois, Michael Klooß, Russell W. F. Lai, and Ivy K. Y. Woo.
“Lattice-Based Proof-Friendly Signatures from Vanishing Short Integer Solutions”.
In: *PKC 2025*. 2025

Let's try to analyse the simplest setting

We focus on:

† Univariate degree- d polynomials: $\mathcal{F} = \{1, X, \dots, X^d\}$

† Single point: $n = 1$

$\text{vSIS}_{\mathcal{R}, n, k, q, \beta, \mathcal{F}}$

† Given: $a \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q$

† Find: $\mathbf{u}^* \in \mathcal{R}^m$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & \dots & a^d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{u}^* = 0 \bmod q \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \|\mathbf{u}^*\| \leq \beta.$$

If $d = 1$, we essentially recover (search-)NTRU, except that NTRU restricts a to be of the form

$$a = f/g \bmod q$$

where $f, g \leftarrow \$ \mathcal{R}_q^\times$ are low-norm.

Solution space

- † Solutions live in the ideal of $\mathcal{R}[X]$ consisting of all polynomials vanishing at a modulo q .
- † The solution space is a lattice with highly structured (bad) bases:

$$\begin{bmatrix} q & -a & & & \\ & 1 & -a & & \\ & & 1 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & -a \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} q & -a & -a^2 & \dots & -a^d \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Casting vSIS as IdSVP in extension fields

- † Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ for some number field $\mathcal{K}$.
- † Let $F(X) \in \mathcal{R}[X]$ be monic of degree $d + 1$ with $F(a) = 0 \bmod q$ and irreducible over $\mathcal{K}$.
- † Finding such F is efficient: Most F satisfying $F(a) = 0 \bmod q$ should be irreducible over $\mathcal{K}$.
- † Let $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{K}[X]/(F)$, a number field of degree $(d + 1)\varphi$, and $\hat{\mathcal{R}} := \mathcal{R}[X]/(F) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$.
- † Then $\mathfrak{q} := \langle q, X - a \rangle \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfies $\hat{\mathcal{R}}/\mathfrak{q} \cong \mathcal{R}_q$ via $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(a) \bmod q$, so

$$\mathfrak{q} = \{\alpha : \alpha(a) = 0 \bmod q\}, \quad \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{q}) = q^{\varphi},$$

and the degree- $\leq d$ representatives of $\mathfrak{q}$ are exactly the vSIS coefficient lattice.

- † $\implies$ Single-point vSIS is IdSVP for $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ measured in a “weird” norm – a hybrid between the coefficient norm in the basis $1, X, \dots, X^d$ and the vSIS norm defined over $\mathcal{K}$.

IdHSVP $\leq$ vSIS

Ideal Hermite Shortest Vector Problem γ -IdHSVP $_{\mathcal{R}}$

Given an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, find an element $x \in \mathcal{I}$ with norm satisfying $0 < \|x\| \leq \gamma \cdot \varphi \cdot \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I})^{1/\varphi}$.

Theorem: IdHSVP $\leq$ vSIS [JL25, Updated]

Let $\theta \leq \varphi$ and N be parameters. Let modulus $q = t^d + s$ with $|s| \leq (2\varphi/\theta)^d$, and

$$\beta = 2\varphi^{d+1} \cdot N, \quad t > 16\varphi^{2d+2} \cdot N^2, \quad \gamma \geq 2\varphi^d \cdot N.$$

Worst-case vSIS $_{\mathcal{R},d,q,\beta}$ is as hard as γ -IdHSVP $_{\mathcal{R}}$ for ideals $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ satisfying the following:

† $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{I}) \leq N^\varphi$,

† $\mathcal{I} = \langle z^d \rangle \cap \mathcal{R}$ is represented by some $z \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\|z^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \theta/2$.

Updates: joint work with Kalle Jyrkinen and Shuto Kuriyama. The PKC 2025 version [JL25] is more restrictive.

† [JL25] Kalle Jyrkinen and Russell W. F. Lai.

“Vanishing Short Integer Solution, Revisited - Reductions, Trapdoors, Homomorphic Signatures for Low-Degree Polynomials”.

In: PKC 2025. 2025

Proof Idea

Generalisation of [PS21], who considered $d = 1$ (i.e. search NTRU).

IdHSVP-to-vSIS ^{$\mathcal{A}$} ($\mathcal{I}$)

$$v := \left\lfloor \frac{t}{z} \right\rfloor \bmod q$$

$$p \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(v)$$

$$! p(v) = 0 \bmod q$$

$$! \|p\| \leq \beta$$

return lead. coef. p_d

Existence: v is a valid instance – it admits a solution

$$p^*(X) := \alpha \cdot (X + \varepsilon)^d + s \cdot r \in \mathcal{R}[X],$$

$$p^*(v) = \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{t}{z}\right)^d + sr = r \cdot (t^d + s) = r \cdot q = 0 \bmod q,$$

$$\text{and } \|p^*\| \approx \varphi^d \cdot \|\alpha\| \leq \beta.$$

Recovery: For every short solution $p(X) = p_d \cdot X^d + \dots$ with $p(v) = q \cdot u$,

$$\alpha \cdot \frac{p_d}{z^d} = \alpha \cdot u \in \mathcal{R}$$

as all remaining terms are **too small** to be non-zero.

$$\implies p_d = z^d \cdot u \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}.$$

† [PS21] Alice Pellet-Mary and Damien Stehlé.

“On the Hardness of the NTRU Problem”.

In: ASIACRYPT 2021. 2021

† $q = t^d + s$

† Small rounding error $\varepsilon := \frac{t}{z} - v$

† $\alpha \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \{0\}$ shortest $\mathcal{I}$ element

† $r := \alpha/z^d \in \mathcal{R}$

Limitations

- † Multi-point?
- † Multivariate?
- † Beyond polylog degree?
- † Remove restrictions on ideal $\mathcal{I}$?
- † Arbitrary (not near d -th power) modulus q ?
- † Worst-case to average-case?

Open problems

Let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{R}_q^k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_q^m$ be strongly linearly independent.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vSIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,k,q,\beta,\mathcal{F}} &\stackrel{?}{\approx} \text{SIS}_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\beta} \\ \text{Span-LWE}_{\mathcal{R},n,k,q,\chi_s,\chi_e,\mathcal{F}} &\stackrel{?}{\approx} \text{LWE}_{\mathcal{R},n,m,q,\chi_s,\chi_e} \\ \text{Hidden-Span-LWE}_{\mathcal{R},n,k,\ell,q,\chi_s,\chi_e,\mathcal{F}} &\stackrel{?}{\approx} (\text{LWE}_{\mathcal{R},n,\ell,q,\chi'_s,\chi_e})^m \end{aligned}$$

Russell W. F. Lai

Aalto University, Finland

✉ russell.lai@aalto.fi

🌐 russell-lai.hk

🌐 research.cs.aalto.fi/crypto

🌐 latticeassumptionzoo.org/vsis/

Kiitos!

References I

- [ABCR26] Shweta Agrawal, Kaartik Bhushan, Geoffroy Couteau, and Mahshid Riahinia. “Post-Quantum Public-Key Pseudorandom Correlation Functions for OT”. In: *CRYPTO 2026*. 2026 (pages 24, 25).
- [ACLMT22] Martin R. Albrecht, Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, Giulio Malavolta, and Sri Aravinda Krishnan Thyagarajan. “Lattice-Based SNARKs: Publicly Verifiable, Preprocessing, and Recursively Composable - (Extended Abstract)”. In: *CRYPTO 2022*. 2022 (pages 20–22).
- [ARS24] Damiano Abram, Lawrence Roy, and Peter Scholl. “Succinct Homomorphic Secret Sharing”. In: *EUROCRYPT 2024*. 2024 (page 24).
- [BCFL23] David Balbás, Dario Catalano, Dario Fiore, and Russell W. F. Lai. “Chainable Functional Commitments for Unbounded-Depth Circuits”. In: *TCC 2023*. 2023 (page 22).

References II

- [CLM23] Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, and Giulio Malavolta.
“Lattice-Based Succinct Arguments from Vanishing Polynomials - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *CRYPTO 2023*. 2023 (pages 8, 10–13, 16, 22).
- [DKLW25] Adrien Dubois, Michael Klooß, Russell W. F. Lai, and Ivy K. Y. Woo.
“Lattice-Based Proof-Friendly Signatures from Vanishing Short Integer Solutions”.
In: *PKC 2025*. 2025 (pages 20–22, 27).
- [FLV23] Ben Fisch, Zeyu Liu, and Psi Vesely.
“Orbweaver: Succinct Linear Functional Commitments from Lattices”.
In: *CRYPTO 2023*. 2023 (page 22).
- [ILL25] Yuval Ishai, Hanjun Li, and Huijia Lin.
“A Unified Framework for Succinct Garbling from Homomorphic Secret Sharing”.
In: *CRYPTO 2025*. 2025 (page 25).
- [JL25] Kalle Jyrkinen and Russell W. F. Lai.
“Vanishing Short Integer Solution, Revisited - Reductions, Trapdoors, Homomorphic Signatures for Low-Degree Polynomials”.
In: *PKC 2025*. 2025 (pages 19, 31).

References III

- [KLNO24] Michael Kloob, Russell W. F. Lai, Ngoc Khanh Nguyen, and Michal Osadnik.
“RoK, Paper, SISsors Toolkit for Lattice-Based Succinct Arguments - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *ASIACRYPT 2024*. 2024 (pages 16, 19).
- [KLNO25] Michael Kloob, Russell W. F. Lai, Ngoc Khanh Nguyen, and Michal Osadnik.
“RoK and Roll - Verifier-Efficient Random Projection for $\tilde{O}(\lambda)$ -Size Lattice Arguments - (Extended Abstract)”.
In: *ASIACRYPT 2025*. 2025 (pages 16, 19).
- [KLNOT26] Michael Klooss, Russell W. F. Lai, Ngoc Khanh Nguyen, Michał Osadnik, and Lorenzo Tucci.
RoKoko: Lattice-based Succinct Arguments, a Committed Refinement.
Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2026/575. 2026 (pages 16, 19).
- [KLOT25] Shuto Kuriyama, Russell W. F. Lai, Michał Osadnik, and Lorenzo Tucci.
SALSAA – Sumcheck-Aided Lattice-based Succinct Arguments and Applications.
Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2025/2124. 2025 (pages 16, 19).

References IV

- [OKCLM25] Michal Osadnik, Darya Kaviani, Valerio Cini, Russell W. F. Lai, and Giulio Malavolta.
“Papercraft: Lattice-Based Verifiable Delay Function Implemented”.
In: *2025 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy*. 2025 (pages 16, 19).
- [PS21] Alice Pellet-Mary and Damien Stehlé.
“On the Hardness of the NTRU Problem”.
In: *ASIACRYPT 2021*. 2021 (page 32).